

AD-A125 268

AN ASYMPTOTICALLY ORTHONORMAL POLYNOMIAL FAMILY(U)
WISCONSIN UNIV-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER
L REICHEL DEC 82 MRC-TSR-2460 DAAG29-80-C-0041


1/1

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 12/1

NL

				END								
				FILED								
				DTN								



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD A125268

MRC Technical Summary Report #2460

AN ASYMPTOTICALLY ORTHONORMAL
POLYNOMIAL FAMILY

Lothar Reichel

Mathematics Research Center
University of Wisconsin-Madison
610 Walnut Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

December 1982

(Received November 1, 1982)

Approved for public release
Distribution unlimited

Sponsored by

U. S. Army Research Office
P. O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park
North Carolina 27709

DTIC
ELECTRONIC
MAR 4 1983
A

03 02 028 105

DTIC FILE COPY

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON
MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

AN ASYMPTOTICALLY ORTHONORMAL POLYNOMIAL FAMILY

Lothar Reichel

Technical Summary Report #2460
December 1982

ABSTRACT

Given a Jordan curve Γ in the complex plane, we describe a polynomial family which is asymptotically orthonormal on Γ . The polynomials have some similarities with the Faber polynomials but are simpler to compute with. Numerical examples are presented.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 65E05, 30E10, 41A10

Key Words: complex polynomial approximation

Work Unit Number 3 (Numerical Analysis)

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

Let Γ be a Jordan curve in the complex plane. We describe a polynomial family, which is asymptotically orthonormal on Γ (as the degree of the polynomials increases), and which is simple to compute. We use the polynomials to approximate functions $f(z)$ analytic in the interior of Γ and continuous on Γ . After some initial calculations, which are independent of the functions to be approximated, each functions $f(z)$ can be approximated by an n th degree polynomial in $O(n \log(n))$ operations. Theoretically, one could use the Faber polynomials for Γ , but the proposed polynomials are much simpler to compute with and almost as effective, as is seen by the bound for the resulting polynomial projection given.



A

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the author of this report.

AN ASYMPTOTICALLY ORTHONORMAL POLYNOMIAL FAMILY

Lothar Reichel

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Γ be a Jordan curve in the complex plane, and let $z = \phi(w)$ be an analytic function on $|w| > 1$, such that ϕ maps $|w| > 1$ onto the exterior of Γ , with $\phi(\infty) = \infty$. ϕ can be extended to a continuous bijective map on $|w| > 1$. The polynomials we will study are defined by

$$(1.1) \quad p_n(z) := \frac{1}{2c^n} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (z - \phi(e^{2ki\pi/n})) + \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (z - \phi(e^{(2k+1)i\pi/n})) \right), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where c denotes the capacity of Γ .

Ex. 1.1. Let Γ be the unit circle, and let $z = \phi(w) = w$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (z - e^{2ki\pi/n}) + \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (z - e^{(2k+1)i\pi/n}) \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ((z^n + 1) + (z^n - 1)) = z^n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

These are the Faber polynomials for the unit disk. ■

Ex. 1.2. Let Γ be the ellipse $\{x + iy, (\frac{x}{a})^2 + (\frac{y}{b})^2 = 1\}$, $a > b$. Let $\alpha := \frac{a+b}{a-b}$ and $d := (a^2 - b^2)^{1/2}$. Then

$$(1.2) \quad z = \phi(w) = d\alpha(w + \alpha^{-2}w^{-1}).$$

The capacity of Γ is $d\alpha$. Substitute (1.2), $w_k := e^{i\pi k/n}$ and $c = d\alpha$ into (1.1).

This gives after some simplifications

$$p_n(z) = w^n + \alpha^{-2n}w^{-n}.$$

These are the Faber polynomials for the ellipse bounded by Γ , c.f. Curtiss [2]. For future reference, we note that

$$(1.3) \quad p_n(z) \rightarrow w^n, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

if $a > 1$, or equivalently, if $b > 0$. ■

In section 2, we show that for a large class of boundaries Γ the polynomials $p_n(z)$ are asymptotically orthonormal with respect to an inner product, c.f. (1.2) and (1.3). We use these polynomials to define a bounded projection operator for polynomial approximation of functions, which are analytic interior to Γ and satisfy certain smoothness properties on Γ . In section 3, we show how this projection onto polynomials of degree $< n$ can be computed in $O(n \log(n))$ operations for each function to be approximated, provided that some initial calculations independent of the function to approximate have been carried out. Section 4 contains numerical examples.

2. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE POLYNOMIALS

Let Ω denote the open interior of Γ , and let Ω_c be the complement of Ω .

Theorem 2.1.

Assume that $\frac{d^j \phi}{dw^j}$ is continuous on $|w| = 1$, and that $\frac{d^{j+1} \phi}{dw^{j+1}}$ is of bounded variation on $|w| = 1$ for some $j > 0$. Then

$$(2.1) \quad p_n(z) = w^n(1 + o(n^{-j})), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly for } z \in \Omega_c, \text{ where } w \text{ is defined by } \phi(w) = z.$$

$$(2.2) \quad p_n(z) = o(n^{-j-1}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad z \in \Omega, \text{ and uniformly for } z \text{ belonging to any closed subset of } \Omega.$$

If ϕ is analytic on $|w| = 1$, then there is a constant r , $0 < r < 1$, such that

$$p_n(z) = w^n + O(r^{-n}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly for } z \in \Omega_c, \text{ where } w \text{ is defined by } w = \phi(z).$$

$$p_n(z) = O(r^{-n}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad z \in \Omega, \text{ and uniformly for } z \text{ belonging to any closed subset of } \Omega.$$

Proof. In the proof we make use of results Curtiss [2] obtained in his investigation of the product

$$\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (z - \phi(e^{2\pi i k/n})).$$

Curtiss [2], Lemma 1, shows that if $f(\theta)$ is a 2π -periodic complex valued function of the real variable θ , absolutely continuous on the interval $0 < \theta < 2\pi$, and if $\theta_k = 2\pi \frac{k}{n}$, $k = 0(1)n$, then

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{2\pi}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\theta_k) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) d\theta + o(n^{-1}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If $\frac{d^j \phi}{dw^j}$ is absolutely continuous, Curtiss's proof of (2.3) supplemented by integration by parts yields

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{2\pi}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\theta_k) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) d\theta + o(n^{-j-1}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Following Curtiss, we introduce

$$q(\hat{w}, w) := \begin{cases} \frac{\phi(\hat{w}) - \phi(w)}{c(\hat{w} - w)}, & \hat{w} \neq w \\ \phi'(w), & \hat{w} = w, \end{cases}$$

where $|w| > 1$, $|\hat{w}| = 1$. Let $\psi(\theta, w) := \log(q(e^{i\theta}, w))$. With a branch of the logarithm chosen so that $w \mapsto \psi$ is analytic and single valued for $|w| > 1$, continuous on $|w| = 1$ and vanishes as $|w| \rightarrow \infty$, the Cauchy integral formula yields

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\theta, w) d\theta = 0, \quad |w| > 1.$$

Let $\theta_k := 2\pi k/n$, $k = 0(1)n-1$. Then, with $z = \phi(w)$,

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (z - \phi(e^{i\theta_k}))}{c^n(w^n - 1)} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(z - \phi(e^{i\theta_k}))}{c(w - e^{i\theta_k})} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} q(w, e^{i\theta_k}).$$

With a suitable branch of the logarithms, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \log\left(\frac{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (z - \phi(e^{i\theta_k}))}{c^n(w^n - 1)}\right) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi(w, \theta_k) = \frac{n}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi(w, \theta_k)(\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k) = \\ &= \frac{n}{2\pi} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi(w, \theta_k)(\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k) - \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(w, \theta) d\theta \right) =: H_n(z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{c^n} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (z - \phi(e^{i\theta_k})) = (w^n - 1)(1 + O(H_n(z))), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

Curtiss [1] considers the case $j = 0$, and shows that $H_n(\phi(w)) = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $|w| > 1$. For $j > 0$, some straightforward modifications of Curtiss's proof, like

replacing (2.3) by (2.4), yields $H_n(\phi(w)) = o(n^{-j})$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly for $|w| > 1$. If $\phi(w)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of the unit circle, then ψ is an analytic function of both its arguments, and $|H_n(\phi(w))| < Ar^n$ for some constants A and r , $0 < r < 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $|w| > 1$.

Now replace θ_k by $\hat{\theta}_k := \theta_k + \frac{\pi}{n}$, $k = 0(1)n-1$, in (2.5). Then

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{c^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q(w, e^{i\hat{\theta}_k}) = \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (z - \phi(e^{i\hat{\theta}_k}))}{c^n(w^n + 1)},$$

and analogously to (2.7) we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{1}{c^n} \sum_{k=0}^n (z - \phi(e^{i\hat{\theta}_k})) = (w^n + 1)(1 + O(H_n(z))), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The average of (2.7) and (2.9) yields (2.1). Also (2.2) follows from results of Curtiss. For ϕ of bounded variation on $|w| = 1$, Curtiss shows that

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{1}{c^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (z - \phi(e^{i\theta_k})) = -1 + O(h(n)), \quad h(n) = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

for any $z \in \Omega$, and uniformly for z belonging to any closed subset of Ω . Again it is straightforward to show that if $\frac{d\phi}{dw^j}$ is of bounded variation for some $j > 0$, then $h(n) = o(n^{-j-1})$. If ϕ is analytic in a neighborhood of $|w| = 1$, there are constants B, r , $0 < r < 1$, such that $|h(n)| < Br^{-n}$. Inspection of Curtiss proof also shows that

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{1}{c^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (z - \phi(e^{i\theta_k})) = 1 + O(h(n)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

for any $z \in \Omega$, uniformly for z belonging to any closed subset of Ω . Adding (2.10) and (2.11) yields (2.2). ■

Let $\phi^{-1}(z)$ denote the inverse map of $\phi(w)$. We introduce the inner product

$$(2.12) \quad (f, g) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) \overline{g(z)} |d\phi^{-1}(z)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|w|=1} f(\phi(w)) \overline{g(\phi(w))} |dw|.$$

The bar denotes complex conjugation.

Theorem 2.2.

$$(2.13) \quad (p_n(z), w^k) = 0, \quad k > n, \quad w = \phi^{-1}(z).$$

$$(2.14) \quad (p_n(z), w^n) = 1.$$

Proof.

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|w|=1} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (\phi(w) - \phi(e^{2\pi i k/n})) \overline{w^k} |dw| = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=1} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (\phi(w) - \phi(e^{2\pi i k/n})) w^{-k-1} dw$$

ϕ being analytic exterior to $|w| = 1$, we can replace the integration path by a circle $|w| = R$ sufficiently large so that ϕ has an expansion

$$(2.16) \quad \phi(w) = cw + a_0 + a_1 w^{-1} + a_2 w^{-2} + \dots, \quad |w| > R.$$

Substituting (2.16) into (2.15) yields (2.13). (2.14) follows from

$$p_k(\phi(w)) = w^k + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-1} a_{kj} w^j.$$

We will use a Petrov-Galerkin method to compute polynomial approximations. Let $G^{(n)}$ denote the Gramian

$$G^{(n)} = [G_{kl}], \quad G_{kl} := (p_l(z), w^k), \quad 0 \leq k, l < n, \quad w = \phi^{-1}(z),$$

and let $F^{(n)}$ be the Fourier operator

$$F^{(n)} f = [F_k], \quad F_k := (f(z), w^k), \quad 0 \leq k < n, \quad w = \phi^{-1}(z).$$

Theorem 2.3.

Let $f(z)$ be analytic in Ω , and have uniformly bounded Fourier coefficients

$F_k = (f(z), w^k)$, $z = \phi(w)$. Let the projection P_n be defined by

$$(2.17) \quad P_n f := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k p_k,$$

with $\underline{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})^T$, $\underline{a} := (G^{(n)})^{-1} F^{(n)} \underline{f}$. Then, if $\frac{d^2 \phi}{dw^2}$ is of bounded variation on $|w| = 1$,

$$(2.18) \quad a_k \rightarrow F_k, \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \quad n > k.$$

Proof. By (2.13), (2.14) $G^{(n)}$ is an upper triangular matrix with diagonal elements

$G_{kk} = 1$. The upper triangular elements have by theorem 2.1 the form

$$G_{jk} = (w^k + o(\frac{1}{k}), w^j) = \delta_{jk} + o(\frac{1}{k}). \text{ Consider the vectors } \underline{a}^{(m)} = (a_m, a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}, \dots, a_n)^T, \\ \underline{b}^{(m)} = (F_m, F_{m+1}, \dots, F_n)^T, \text{ and let the matrix } \underline{E}^{(m)} = [\underline{E}_{kj}^{(m)}] \text{ be defined by}$$

$$\underline{E}_{kj}^{(m)} = \begin{cases} 1 & , \quad k = j, \quad 0 \leq k, j < m - n \\ G_{k+m, j+m} & , \quad k \neq j, \quad 0 \leq k, j < m - n \end{cases}$$

The magnitude of the $\underline{E}_{kj}^{(m)}$ is given by

$$\underline{E}^{(m)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & o(\frac{1}{m}) & o(\frac{1}{m+1}) & o(\frac{1}{m+2}) & \dots & o(\frac{1}{n-1}) \\ & 0 & o(\frac{1}{m+1}) & o(\frac{1}{m+2}) & \dots & o(\frac{1}{n-1}) \\ & & 0 & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ & & & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\|\underline{E}^{(m)}\|$ is bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and we can, for each $\epsilon > 0$, select an m such that

$\|\underline{E}^{(m)}\|_\infty < \epsilon$. Now

$$(I + \underline{E}^{(m)}) \underline{a}^{(m)} = \underline{b}^{(m)}.$$

Let $\|\underline{b}^{(m)}\|_\infty < d$, and assume $\epsilon < 1$. Then

$$\underline{a}^{(m)} - \underline{b}^{(m)} = \sum_{k=1}^m (\underline{E}^{(m)})^k \underline{b}^{(m)},$$

and

$$\|\underline{a}^{(m)} - \underline{b}^{(m)}\|_\infty < (1 + \|\underline{E}^{(m)}\|_\infty)^{-1} \|\underline{E}^{(m)}\|_\infty \|\underline{b}^{(m)}\|_\infty < \frac{ed}{1 - \epsilon}.$$

Adding some regularity assumptions on the functions to be approximated, we can bound

$$(2.19) \quad \|\underline{p}_n\|_\infty = \sup_{\|\underline{f}\|_\Gamma} \|\underline{p}_n \underline{f}\|_\Gamma,$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\Gamma} = \sup_{z \in \Gamma} |f(z)|$$

Theorem 2.4.

Let f be analytic on Ω , and assume its Fourier coefficients $(f(z), w^k)$, $w = \phi(z)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, form an absolutely convergent series. Let $\frac{d^2 \phi}{dw^2}$ be of bounded variation on $|w| = 1$. Then P_n is bounded wrt the norm (2.19).

Proof. We divide the matrix $G^{(n)}$ into 2 parts. For an $m < n$, let

$$A = [A_{kj}], \quad A_{kj} = \begin{cases} G_{kj}, & k = j \text{ or } k < m \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Let $E := G^{(n)} - A$. For an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, we can select an m so that $\|E\|_1 < \epsilon < 1$ for all n , c.f. the proof of theorem 2.3. Using $EA^{-1} = E$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (G^{(n)})^{-1} &= (A + E)^{-1} = A^{-1}(I + EA^{-1})^{-1} = \\ &= A^{-1}(I + E)^{-1} = A^{-1}(I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-E)^k) = A^{-1} + A^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-E)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(2.20) \quad \underline{a} = A^{-1}P^{(n)}f + A^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-E)^k P^n f.$$

$$\sup_{z \in \Gamma} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k P_k(z) \right| < \sup_{|w|=1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k (w^k + o(\frac{1}{k})) \right|_m < \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |a_k| (1 + o(\frac{1}{k})) < d_0 \|a\|_1$$

for some constant d_0 independent of n . From (2.20),

$$\|a\|_1 < (1 + \epsilon)^{-1} \|A^{-1}\|_1 \cdot \|P^n f\|_1.$$

$\|P^n f\|_1$ was assumed bounded for all n .

3. COMPUTING WITH THE POLYNOMIALS

The proof of theorem 2.3 indicates a computational method for determining the coefficients of $P_n f$ in $O(n \log(n))$ operations if the Grammian is known.

1) Determine n Fourier coefficients $\underline{b} := F_n f$. This requires $O(n \log(n))$ operations.

2) Solve $G^{(n)} \underline{a} = \underline{b}$. Solutions of the full system requires $\frac{n^2}{2}$ operations, but we only need to solve $A \underline{a} = \underline{b}$, where A is the submatrix of $G^{(n)}$ introduced in the proof of theorem 2.3. A can be chosen independently of n . Solving $A \underline{a} = \underline{b}$ requires $O(n)$ operations.

We next turn to the computation of the polynomials. The restriction of the mapping function $\phi(w)$ to $|w| = 1$ is needed, and several numerical methods are available, see Fornberg [3], Gutknecht [5] or Reichel [6]. The method [6] yields also the capacity of Γ , but not knowing the capacity only necessitates explicit normalization

$(P_k(z), (\phi^{-1}(z))^k) = 1, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. We finally note that when $P_n f$ has been computed and is to be evaluated at many points it might be advantageous to use a representation which is faster to evaluate than (2.17), like a Newton polynomial representation.

4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

We consider two contours Γ , one which is analytic, and one for which $\frac{d^2\phi}{dw^2}$ has a jump discontinuity on $|w| = 1$. All computations have been carried out on a UNIVAC 1100 in single precision, i.e. with 8 significant digits. The images of the roots of unity, we determined with approximately 6 significant digits. Let Γ be the ellipse $\{x + iy : (\frac{x}{2})^2 + y^2 = 1\}$.

Ex. E1.	j	$\max_{ w =1} p_{j+1}(\phi(w)) - w^{j+1} $
	5	$4.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$
	10	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$

Due to rounding errors, we cannot obtain a deviation much smaller than for $j = 10$. ■

Ex. E2.	Let $f(z) := (z + 3)^{-1}$.	
	j	$\sup_{z \in \Gamma} (p_{j+1}f)(z) - f(z) $
	10	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$
	20	$3.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$


If the error would decrease maximally, see Gaier [4], ch. 1, it would decrease by a factor $2.56 \cdot 10^{-3}$, when j is increased from 10 to 20. This is also the case. When j is increased further, rounding errors dominate. ■

Ex. E3. Let $f(z) := \sqrt{z + 2}$, where we choose a branch which has a discontinuity on the negative real axis.

	j	$\sup_{z \in \Gamma} (p_{j+1}f)(z) - f(z) $
	10	0.176
	20	0.121
	40	0.079

The error decreases by a factor close to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, the expected rate of convergence. ■

In the following examples Γ is a sports ground shaped region obtained by placing a unit square between 2 unit disk halves.



Ex. S1	j	$\max_{ w =1} p_{j+1}(\phi(w)) - w^{j+1} $
	5	$8.86 \cdot 10^{-2}$
	10	$2.82 \cdot 10^{-2}$
	20	$1.24 \cdot 10^{-2}$
	40	$0.61 \cdot 10^{-2}$

The error seems to decrease like $o(1/n)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. ■

Ex. S2	$f(z) := (z + 3)^{-1}$	
	j	$\max_{z \in \Gamma} (p_{j+1}f)(z) - f(z) $
	10	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$
	20	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$

Ex. S3 $f(z) := \sqrt{z + 2}$, the same branch as in ex. S3

	j	$\max_{z \in \Gamma} (p_{j+1}f)(z) - f(z) $
	10	0.198
	20	0.137
	40	0.090

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Prof. Carl de Boor for valuable discussions. A grant from the Sweden-America Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1] Curtiss, J. H., Riemann sums and the fundamental polynomials of Lagrange interpolation, Duke Math. J., 8, 525-532, 1941.
- [2] Curtiss, J. H., Faber polynomials and the Faber series, Amer. Math. Monthly, 78, 577-596, 1971.
- [3] Fornberg, B., A numerical method for conformal mappings, SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comp., 1, 386-400, 1980.
- [4] Gaier, D., Vorlesungen uber Approximation im Komplexen, Birkhauser, Basel 1980.
- [5] Gutknecht, M. H., Solving Theodorsen's integral equation for conformal maps with the fast Fourier transform and various nonlinear iterative methods, Numer. Math., 36, 405-429, 1981.
- [6] Reichel, L., A fast method for solving certain integral equations of the first kind with application to conformal mappings, Report TRITA-NA-8118, Dept. of Numer. Anal. and Comp. Sci., Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden.

LR/ed

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 2460	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A125268	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) AN ASYMPTOTICALLY ORTHONORMAL POLYNOMIAL FAMILY		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Summary Report - no specific reporting period
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Lothar Reichel		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAAG29-80-C-0041
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematics Research Center, University of 610 Walnut Street Madison, Wisconsin 53706		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Work Unit Number 3 - Numerical Analysis
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709		12. REPORT DATE December 1982
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 12
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) complex polynomial approximation		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Given a Jordan curve T in the complex plane, we describe a polynomial family which is asymptotically orthonormal on T . The polynomials have some similarities with the Faber polynomials but are simpler to compute with. Numerical examples are presented.		

END